Page 2974

- 1 Q. And where did you get the information that Mr. Nabors
- was the top person in the organization?
- 3 A. From Richland County Sheriff's Office.
- 4 Q. And is there a specific individual?
- 5 A. Most likely, I don't recall for sure, but it was most
- 6 likely Chuck Metcalf.
- 7 MR. ROTH: No further questions, Your Honor.
- 8 THE COURT: All right. We'll take our
- 9 afternoon break now.
- MR. COMBER: Thank you.
- (Recess taken).
- THE COURT: You may be seated.
- Sir, you're still under oath.
- 14 You may proceed.
- MR. COMBER: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION OF ROBERT CROSS
- 17 BY MR. COMBER:
- 18 Q. Sir, you had no prior law enforcement experience
- before starting with DEA, correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. And you arrived here in Cleveland to the DEA office in
- ²² July, 2005?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. One month before Mr. Bray was signed up?
- A. Approximately, yeah. I don't recall the exact dates,

e2028

- a preamble on the recording before a call was made, correct?
- ² A. Yes.
- Q. Again, what is a preamble?
- 4 A. Basically you just speak into the recording that, like
- for this case it would be, you know, we're going to have a
- 6 confidential informant place a call to whoever the target
- was at this phone number, the date, the time, and who was
- 8 present.
- 9 Q. What's the purpose of a preamble, sir?
- 10 A. So that you know what's on that recording.
- 11 Q. And one of the things that's on the recording is the
- 12 actual phone number being dialed?
- 13 A. Given on the recording?
- Q. Yes. That's a part of the preamble?
- A. Generally, yes.
- Q. Okay. And that can also be corroborated by
- subpoenaing phone records to make sure that that was the
- call -- excuse me -- that was the phone that was, in fact,
- 19 called, correct?
- 20 A. Correct. Correct.
- Q. Did you review toll records in this case?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever compare the numbers listed in the 6
- versus the toll records?
- A. For several, I'm sure. I mean, I don't recall

Page 2978

- specifically, but --
- 2 Q. Are you aware that there was almost complete
- consistency in number called versus number described before
- DEA became involved, but after DEA became involved there
- 5 were multiple occasions where the number listed in the
- 6 report was different than the number that the toll records
- ⁷ reflected?
- MR. ROTH: Judge, I would object.
- Mischaracterizes the evidence.
- MR. COMBER: Your Honor, I'd suggest Agent
- 11 Thomas presented chart after chart after chart showing the
- discrepancies.
- THE COURT: Okay. You may answer.
- 14 Are you aware that that happened? You can
- 15 answer that.
- And then on redirect, if there are additional
- questions, they can be dealt with.
- 18 A. I'm not aware of that, no.
- 19 BY MR. COMBER:
- Q. Okay. Did you ever compare the number that's listed
- in the preamble of the recorded calls in these cases to the
- number actually listed in the 6?
- 23 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Did you ever compare the number listed in the preamble
- of the recorded calls in these cases to the number listed in